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Introduction

The Missouri Law Review is pleased to present its Developments in Missouri Law, 1996-1997. Written by professors and alumni of the University of Missouri-Columbia School of Law, legal practitioners throughout the State of Missouri, and student members of the Review, the Developments in Missouri Law is a survey of the progression of law in Missouri. The Developments in Missouri Law does not pretend to be a comprehensive treatment of the unfolding of Missouri law; it is a collection of articles, organized into chapters, dealing with a variety of noteworthy legal issues.

The articles authored by professors, alumni and practicing attorneys, such as the introductory articles by Professor Robert M. Lawless, Paul D. Seyferth and Joseph H. Knittig, take the form of traditional lead articles. The pieces written by Scott E. Nutter, Bryan T. Pratt, Thomas D. Bixby, and Edward S. Stevens are “law summaries”—five-part compositions written by members of the Review specifically for the Developments in Missouri Law. The law summary format is designed to introduce the reader to a particular area of Missouri law by acquainting the reader with the established law and reviewing the more recent development of that area of law. Other members of the Review contributed conventional case notes, using appellate decisions as vehicles for analyzing the progress of the law.

The Developments in Missouri Law is the largest issue of Volume 63 of the Review. Its value lies in its practical usefulness to the practitioners, educators, judges, legislators and other policy makers of Missouri.

THE EDITORS