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Five Approaches to Legal Reasoning in the Classroom: Contrasting Perspectives on O’Brien v. Cunard S.S. Co. Ltd.

The following five articles comprise a symposium which examines ideology implicit in teaching at law schools. Each article presents a pedagogical approach to O’Brien v. Cunard S.S. Co. Ltd., a tort case typically taught in the first year curriculum.
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