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Soccer is unquestionably the world’s most popular sport. Two hundred and eleven countries have national soccer associations, hundreds of millions of people across the globe play recreationally, and Fédération Internationale de Football Association’s (“FIFA”) quadrennial World Cup soccer tournament is unchallenged as the highest profile and highest grossing sporting competition on Earth. Notwithstanding its popularity, however, soccer sits at a troubling crossroads as the sport’s governing bodies grapple with the impact that the risk of brain injury is having on the game. Soccer is, of course, not alone in this regard. The risk of brain injury exists in all team contact sports, most famously in American football (“football”), which provides a cautionary tale from which soccer could stand to learn.

As evidence reflecting the epidemic of brain injury in football began to pile up in the early 2000s, the National Football League (“NFL”), far and away the world’s leading football entity, was slow to react. The NFL initially discounted the connection between football and brain injuries and, in some cases, sought to delegitimize those who challenged the league. Eventually, the NFL acknowledged the dangers attendant to playing football and has since instituted a bevy of rule changes to protect the players’ heads. Notwithstanding the rule changes, violent collisions causing damage to the head continue at an alarming rate. During the 2017 NFL season, players suffered 281 concussions, up 13.5%

1. Ira Boudway, Soccer Is the World’s Most Popular Sport and Still Growing, BLOOMBERG (June 12, 2018), https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-06-12/soccer-is-the-world-s-most-popular-sport-and-still-growing. A recent survey conducted by Nielsen found that more than 43% of individuals indicated interest in the sport. Id. The next closest sport was basketball, with 36% of individuals indicating interest. Id.


6. See infra Part IV.

7. See infra Section III.B.


from 2016. Indeed, many in the NFL community quietly concede that the violence inherent in football unavoidably risks head trauma. Soccer, however, is different. When not challenging for the ball, contact beyond that which is incidental is not permitted; even when challenging for the ball, challenges are to be made with the feet in the area of the ball – generally at another player’s feet. Moreover, to the extent that any pushing, striking, charging, or jumping deemed dangerous by the referee accompanies the challenge, the opposing team will receive the ball. In contrast, dangerous pushes, strikes, charges, and jumps are part and parcel of football and are generally encouraged and even demanded.

Soccer encourages and demands one action, however, that puts the head in consistent danger: heading the ball. Thirty percent (30%) of concussions in soccer occur when two players attempt to head the ball at the same time, resulting in head clashes or heads colliding with other body parts or the ground. The desired outcome of an attempted header – head to ball impact – causes untold damage as well. This Article, therefore, argues that soccer’s governing bodies should eliminate the practice of heading from the game. Doing so would protect generations of soccer players to come and would limit


13. Id. at 97, 99.

14. *See* Naveen Jain, *Can We Change the Culture of Violence in Football and Still Retain the Sport We Love?*, FORBES (Mar. 21, 2012, 2:22 PM), https://www.forbes.com/sites/naveenjain/2012/03/21/can-we-change-the-culture-of-violence-in-football-and-still-retain-the-sport-we-love/#3ad6825d1f19. It was discovered that at least one NFL team had instituted a “bounty” type program where players would be compensated for injuring members of opposing teams. *Id.*

15. Heading a ball entails hitting the ball with the upper portion of the forehead, near the hairline, to redirect and move the ball. *Heading the Ball in Soccer*, SOCCER TRAINING INFO, http://www.soccer-training-info.com/soccer_heading.asp (last visited Sept. 1, 2018). The move can be used offensively or defensively and from a standing, jumping, or diving position. *Id.*


17. *See infra* Part IV.
potentially wide-spread liability among soccer governing bodies, as well as the ensuing economic consequences, ensuring the continued existence of “The Beautiful Game.”

Part II of this Article offers a primer on brain trauma and its incidence in contact sports. Part III details the historical relationship between soccer and football, the ties that bind them, and each game’s position vis-a-vis the other in the pecking order of American sports. Part IV explores the underappreciated danger of brain trauma that playing soccer poses. Part V examines the inefficacy of headgear in protecting soccer players’ brains. Part VI tracks the technological advances in soccer ball development that have led to increased heading and examines the movement to reduce heading in youth, but not adult, soccer. Part VII concludes that for the safety of soccer players and the future of the game, heading should be eliminated from soccer at all levels.

II. A Brain Trauma Primer

The human brain is a wondrous organ. It exercises control over the body’s other organs, and its size and power separate humans from other animals. The brain’s soft gelatinous consistency, however, renders it extremely delicate. Although the skull and the fluid in which the brain is suspended protects the brain, a blow to the head or a sudden head movement can send the


brain sloshing in its fluid against the inside of the skull, causing mild traumatic brain injury commonly known as a concussion.

The Center for Disease Control (“CDC”) defines a concussion as “creating chemical changes in the brain and sometimes stretching and damaging brain cells.” Symptoms include headache, confusion, blurred vision, dizziness, nausea, irritability, sensitivity to light, and difficulty sleeping. Sometimes symptoms manifest immediately, and sometimes they take days, weeks, or months to develop. Through rest and avoiding strenuous physical activity, most people will recover from a concussion. But if one sustains a second concussion before the first has healed, the individual could experience second-impact syndrome, which can have catastrophic consequences, including severe


Helmets are not the answer. The brain has a certain amount of play inside the skull. It’s buoyed up in the cerebral spinal fluid. It sits in this fluid, floats. When the head suddenly stops, the brain continues, reverberates back. So [sic] when I hit, boom, my skull stops, but my brain continues forward for about a centimeter. Boom, boom, it reverberates back. So [sic] you could have padding that’s a foot thick. It’s not going to change the acceleration/deceleration phenomenon.

Id. (alterations in original).

22. DEP’T OF HEALTH & HUM. SERV., HEADS UP: FACTS FOR PHYSICIANS ABOUT MILD TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY (MTBI) 2, https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/12340 (last visited Sept. 1, 2018) (“[M]ild Traumatic Brain Injury is used interchangeably with the term concussion [and] . . . is caused by a blow or jolt to the head that disrupts the function of the brain.”).


25. What Are the Signs and Symptoms of Concussion?, supra note 24 (“[S]ymptoms may not show up for hours or days.”); Post-Concussion Syndrome, MAYO CLINIC (July 28, 2017), https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/post-concussion-syndrome/symptoms-causes/syc-20353352 (“[S]ymptoms occur within the first seven to [ten] days and go away within three months. Sometimes, they can persist for a year or more.”).

brain swelling and sometimes death. Even if a person has not suffered an additional concussion, multiple concussions over time can produce long-term neurological damage and consequent functional deficits.

Not all blows, of course, are concussive. Sub-concussive impacts are those that cause the brain to bounce against the skull but do not produce concussion symptoms. While long deemed to be only minimally damaging, current research suggests that an accumulation of sub-concussive blows, like multiple concussive blows, can be neurodegenerative.

Both concussive and sub-concussive blows are common in contact sports, with some athletes seemingly more prone to their effects than others, both acutely and in the long term. Why some athletes are more prone than others is not known, but for those who suffer most from the long-term effects of these blows, the consequences can be devastating. While the neurodegeneration can manifest in different ways, it can ultimately result in Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy (“CTE”), a protein accumulation that interferes with neurological damage and consequent functional deficits.


30. Brian Johnson et al., Effects of Subconcussive Head Trauma on the Default Mode Network of the Brain, 31 J. NEUROUTRAUMA 1907, 912 (2014), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4238241/. Neurodegeneration is devastating because the neurons, which are responsible for transmitting and receiving the signals that allow humans to function, cease to function properly. See Serge Przedborski et al., Neurodegeneration: What is It and Where are We?, 111 J. CLINICAL INVESTIGATION 3, 3 (2003), https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI17522; see also Types of Neurons, QUEENSLAND BRAIN INST., https://qbi.uq.edu.au.brain/brain-anatomy/types-neurons (last visited Sept. 1, 2018).

31. Michael Saulle & Brian D. Greenwald, Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy: A Review, 2012 REHABILITATION RES. PRAC. 1, 2-4 (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/816069. There are an estimated 1.6–3.8 million reported sport-related concussions in the United States each year. Id. at 1.

32. See Eric Niller, Finding a Link Between Genes and Brain Injury: Are Some People Predisposed to Trauma?, WASH. POST (May 5, 2014), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/finding-a-link-between-genes-and-brain-injury-are-some-people-predisposed-to-trauma/2014/05/05/c2d9dd06-c49e-11e3-becb-71ee10e9b3_story.html?utm_term=.8d78a826ae6e. One theory is that a player’s genetics may contribute to susceptibility to concussions. Id.

33. See Concussions, supra note 24. Reported long term effects include memory problems, sensitivity to light, sleep disturbances, smell and taste disorders, concentration problems, irritability, depression, and other personality changes and psychological problems. Id. “In fact, [the medical community] think[s] that some suicides may be linked to brain damage that results from multiple concussions.” Id.
neuron function and causes the brain to atrophy. As the brain atrophies, symptoms progress from those associated with concussion – such as confusion and dizziness – to debilitating dementia and death. Once known as dementia pugilistica, because it was believed to exist principally in boxers (known also as pugilists), CTE is now recognized as occurring in athletes who play non-combat contact sports, as well. And because there is no way to know which athletes are most likely to develop CTE or other forms of brain trauma, athletes who play contact sports and their loved ones are justified in their concerns regarding blows to the head.

These fears have cast a pall over football’s future and, in the views of some, have opened the door for soccer to fill the void. If soccer is able to address its own head trauma concerns and fills that void, it would represent another chapter in the complex, longstanding relationship between these two sports. Moreover, it might take a few steps toward football’s current spot atop the professional American sporting hierarchy.

III. SOCCER, FOOTBALL, AND THEIR INTERACTION IN THE UNITED STATES

A. Soccer and Football in the United States: Born of One

Historians regard an athletic contest held on November 6, 1869, between Princeton University and Rutgers University as America’s first football game.


35. P. W. Schofield et al., 62 J. NEUROLOGY, NEUROSURGERY & PSYCHIATRY 119, 122 (1997) (footnote omitted) (“Our results indicate that head injury may be associated with an earlier age at onset of Alzheimer's disease, and are consistent with the results of several previous case-control studies, which suggest that a history of head injury with loss of consciousness may be a significant risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease.”); cf. Ike Swetlitz, Head Trauma Link to ALS Strengthened with Findings of NFL Player Kevin Turner’s Autopsy, STAT NEWS (Nov. 3, 2016), https://www.statnews.com/2016/11/03/cte-als-kevin-turner-autopsy/ (finding Turner died of a degenerative brain disorder caused by head trauma – ALS); Bob Hohler, Former Patriot Kevin Turner Died from CTE, Not ALS, BOSTON GLOBE (Nov. 3, 2016), https://www.bostonglobe.com/sports/patriots/2016/11/03/former-patriot-kevin-turner-died-from-cte-not-als/vdCA0YQQP1i0HDfTy9liP/story.html.


as well as its first soccer game.\textsuperscript{38} By that time, however, soccer was firmly established on the global scene.\textsuperscript{39}

When and where in human history a group of people first kicked a roughly round object amongst each other is unclear, but some form of “kicking game” has existed since ancient times, and virtually every world culture has seen a version of it.\textsuperscript{40} The ancient Greeks played, as did the Romans.\textsuperscript{41} So, too, did the Chinese and the Japanese.\textsuperscript{42} And when the Pilgrims landed in what is now Massachusetts, the Native Americans they encountered played a “kicking game” called “Pasuckquakhowog,” which often involved “entire villages” playing on a massive patch of ground.\textsuperscript{43} Those games, however, are related to modern-day soccer only in that they involved the act of kicking a ball or something like it.\textsuperscript{44} The concept of two opposing teams on a bounded playing surface, each defending its own goal while simultaneously working to kick the ball through the other team’s goal, is generally credited to the nineteenth-century English.\textsuperscript{45} Before long, English expatriates began spreading the game to other nations, including the United States.\textsuperscript{46} It was a form of the English game, combined with aspects of rugby, that pitted Princeton and Rutgers in the 1869 match.\textsuperscript{47}

Although the game would not be recognizable today as either football or soccer, it featured characteristics of both sports. As in soccer, the players used a round ball and were permitted to strike it with their feet.\textsuperscript{48} As in football, however, players were able to catch the ball, and they recorded points by kicking the ball between two upright posts.\textsuperscript{49} On that November day in New Brunswick, New Jersey, soccer stood even with football on the American sporting landscape.\textsuperscript{50} But not long thereafter, the aspects of the Princeton-Rutgers game characterizing football and those characterizing soccer would drift apart, ultimately birthing two separate sports.\textsuperscript{51} Universities interested in competing in intercollegiate athletics seemed to prefer the “handling” aspects of the game to

\begin{itemize}
\item{38. Andrei S. Markovits et al., Offside: Soccer & American Exceptionalism 71 (2001).}
\item{40. Id. at passim.}
\item{41. Id. at 13.}
\item{42. Id. at 6.}
\item{43. Markovits et al., supra note 38, at 69–71.}
\item{44. Id. at 70.}
\item{45. Id. at 71–72; see also Goldblatt, supra note 39, at 30.}
\item{46. See David Wangerin, Soccer in a Football World: The Story of America’s Forgotten Game, 22–21 (2006).}
\item{47. Markovits et al., supra note 38, at 71–72.}
\item{48. Wangerin, supra note 46, at 20. The ball was also allowed to be “butted with the head.” Id.}
\item{49. Id.}
\item{50. Markovits et al., supra note 38, at 71–72.}
\item{51. Wangerin, supra note 46, at 20–22.}
\end{itemize}
its “kicking” aspects. And, in 1876, four of them founded the “Intercollegiate Football Association” to compete in a game closely related to football as we know it today but without any trace of soccer.

**B. Football’s Violent Ascension**

The “handling” game quickly became a national fixture due in no small part to its brutal physicality, which reflected a phenomenon squarely at the root of late-nineteenth-century American identity: violent, militaristic conquest. When football was born, America was barely one hundred years old and only narrowly removed from both its bloody birth in the Revolutionary War and its even bloodier internal struggle in the Civil War. And before, throughout, and after both wars, Americans slowly marched across the country – from east to west – exterminating the Native Americans who previously inhabited the land. The nation was young, but both violence and conquest were central to its burgeoning identity. It is no wonder, therefore, that America’s new sport celebrated conquest through violence and grew so popular.

At base, writes Joseph L. Price in *From Season to Season: Sports as American Religion*, “the object of [football] is the conquest of territory.”

---

52. See id. at 21.

53. Id. at 21–22.

54. See SAL PAOLANTONIO, HOW FOOTBALL EXPLAINS AMERICA 8–10 (2008); see also DAVE ZIRIN, GAME OVER: HOW POLITICS HAS TURNED THE SPORTS WORLD UPSIDE DOWN 155 (2013) (“The heart of the founding of modern athletics was economic elites sending their children to die in Ivy League football games merely because they were terrified that they wouldn’t be tough enough to lead conquests abroad and industrial brutality at home.”).


56. See PAOLANTONIO, supra note 54, at 8–10.

57. See id.

58. See id.


In football [sic] the object is for the quarterback, also known as the field general, to be on target with his aerial assault, riddling the defense by hitting his receivers with deadly accuracy in spite of the blitz, even if he has to use shotgun. With short bullet passes and long bombs, he marches his troops into enemy territory, balancing this aerial assault with a sustained ground attack that punches holes in the forward wall of the enemy’s defensive line.
Football is played on a one hundred yard long field separated at the fifty yard line into two halves, one belonging to each team, and the goal is to “invade[] foreign land, traverse[] it completely, and complete[] the conquest by settling in the end zone.”\textsuperscript{60} Even at football’s inception in the late nineteenth century, its basic mantra, “Capture territory. Hold it. Advance” resounded,\textsuperscript{61} and “the rules of the game gave prominence to violence.”\textsuperscript{62} Indeed, as the game developed, coaches and players continually created new means of punishing opponents,\textsuperscript{65} making the game ever more violent.

For instance, in 1892, Harvard’s football team pioneered the flying wedge as a means of clearing a path for the ball carrier.\textsuperscript{64} Before a play started, while the ball was still on the line of scrimmage, many of Harvard’s offensive players “formed two, unconnected, inward slanting columns fifteen to twenty-five yards behind the line of scrimmage and began to run, their columns converging . . . .”\textsuperscript{65} By the time the columns of players reached the line of scrimmage and the ball was snapped, the players – now moving at top speed – had converged to form a large V that plunged through the defensive line with such force that serious injuries were inevitable.\textsuperscript{66}

The flying wedge and other maneuvers that allowed players to establish momentum before the ball was snapped were ultimately outlawed, but even in their absence, extreme violence characterized the game.\textsuperscript{67} In fact, during the 1905 collegiate football season, at least eighteen people died from football-related injuries, and over 150 more were seriously injured.\textsuperscript{68} The carnage was such that President Theodore Roosevelt became involved, imploring the nation’s most successful and influential football schools to condemn the sport’s more brutal aspects.\textsuperscript{69} Rule changes followed, and football fatalities declined,

---

\textsuperscript{60} Toni Monkovic, Football is War! (George Carlin’s Routine), N.Y. TIMES: THE FIFTH DOWN NFL BLOG (May 31, 2008, 1:05 AM), https://fifthdown.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/05/31/football-is-war-george-carlins-routine/.

\textsuperscript{61} See Price, supra note 59, at 138.

\textsuperscript{62} See PAOLOANTONIO, supra note 54, at 7.

\textsuperscript{63} See William Dean, The American Spiritual Culture: And the Invention of Jazz, Football, and the Movies 151–52 (A&C Black 2006); see also Kurt Edward Kemper, College Football and American Culture in the Cold War Era 23 (2009) (“[President Dwight] Eisenhower declared that ‘morale – the will to win, the fighting heart – are the honored hallmarks’ of [football], and that such competitive values were crucially applicable in the defense of the Republic.”).

\textsuperscript{64} Id. at note 62, at 152–53.

\textsuperscript{65} Id.

\textsuperscript{66} Id.

\textsuperscript{67} Id.


\textsuperscript{69} Id.
but the game retained, and continues to retain, its violent and often brutal character both in college and in the NFL, which was founded in 1920.70

Soccer never grasped the nation the way football did. That was due in part to soccer’s foreign origin and Americans’ strong desire at the time to assert “cultural independence by developing games of [their] own.”71 In addition, however, soccer “provide[d] fewer opportunities than . . . football[] for spectators to work out their frustrations vicariously by identifying with violent actors on the field of play.”72 So while football, with violence at its core, flourished, soccer persisted for most of the twentieth century as a fringe and somewhat counter-culture sporting option.73

C. The “Soccer is Safe” Narrative

Just as football’s proponents relished football’s violence and hardiness, soccer’s proponents in the United States celebrated their game’s more civilized and less violent image. This vision of soccer was, perhaps, most clearly exemplified by a graphic, popular in the 1980s soccer community, titled, “Evolution of Man.” It depicted a football player in a four-point stance evolving into an ape, then into an early homo sapien, then into a modern man, and finally into a soccer player, standing tall on two legs.


73. See Ontko et al., supra note 71 (noting that football consumes the vast majority of social space for sports in the United States).
Soccer’s detractors also emphasized soccer’s lack of violence, albeit in a less flattering manner, by painting soccer as a soft or effeminate sport. For instance, sports radio host Jim Rome once famously stated, “[M]y son is not playing soccer. I will hand him ice-skates and a shimmering sequined blouse before I hand him a soccer ball. Soccer is not a sport, does not need to be on my TV, and my son will not be playing it.”

Among soccer proponents and detractors alike, soccer has largely avoided a reputation as a dangerous sport. Indeed, in the United States, soccer has long been deemed a safe and child-friendly alternative to football and has grown exponentially over the past fifty years. During the last quarter of the twentieth century, the number of children playing organized youth soccer in the United States jumped from roughly 100,000 to over three million. This exponential growth drove professional interest, and in 1996, Major League Soccer (“MLS”), America’s premier professional soccer league, was founded with

ten teams.77 In just over twenty years, the league has more than doubled in size to twenty-three teams, fueled largely by children who participated in the game and who have grown with the game into adulthood.78

This narrative of soccer as a non-violent sport, which propelled soccer’s rise during the end of the twentieth century and the beginning of the twenty-first century, took center stage when the link between football and brain injury solidified.79 That narrative only strengthened when former NFL players’ head trauma lawsuits began to clog the courts. The first such suit was filed in 2011.80 Jason Luckasevic, a Pittsburgh-based personal injury attorney, filed suit on behalf of seventy-five former NFL players who had suffered brain injuries.81 Additional lawsuits involving scores of players followed, and in 2012, they were consolidated into one massive class action, involving 18,000 retired players, before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.82 In essence, the players “alleged that the NFL . . . failed to take reasonable actions to protect players from the chronic risks created by concusive and sub-concussive head injuries and fraudulently concealed those risks from players.”83 In 2013, the players and the NFL reached a $765 million settlement designed to “compensate victims, pay for medical exams, and underwrite research.”84


Judge Anita Brody, who presided over the case, refused to approve the settlement because she feared the settlement amount would be insufficient to cover the needs of all the class members. Over a year later, after the parties amended the settlement – increasing the NFL’s payout to potentially $1 billion – Judge Brody approved it. And one year after that, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit upheld it.

Although the NFL, which enjoys annual revenues of $14 billion, can absorb a $1 billion settlement, the collateral public relations damage it has suffered has proven harder to absorb. With parents fearful of the game, youth participation rates have plummeted year after year. In 2015, 22% of parents polled said they would not let their sons play football. In 2016, that number jumped to 31%. In 2017, it jumped further to 43%. And in 2018, for the first time, roughly half of parents polled said they would not let their sons play football. Indeed, even the game’s greatest successes and most stalwart ambassadors – NFL Hall of Famers – have publicly expressed their concern about the toll football takes on players and about the future of the game.

Troy Aikman: “I think that we’re at a real crossroads, as it relates to the grassroots of our sport, because if I had a [ten]-year-old boy, I don’t know that I’d be real inclined to encourage him to go play football, in

86. Id. at 423.
87. Id. at 447–48.
90. Id.
91. See Concussion Concerns Influence Whether Parents Allow Children to Play Sports, AM. OSTEOPATHIC ASS’N (Apr. 10, 2017), https://www.osteopathic.org/inside-aoa/news-and-publications/media-center/2017-news-releases/Pages/4-10-concussion-concerns-influence-whether-parents-allow-children-to-play-sports.aspx. A Harris Poll found 16% of parents decline to enroll their children into sports because of the concussion risks. Id. Thirty-three percent (33%) reported their decision to enroll their child was contingent on the sport. Id. Of that 33%, 82% of parents would not allow their children to play football. Id.
92. Chelsea Howard, Poll Shows Nearly 50 Percent of American Parents Don’t Want Their Children Playing Football, SPORTING NEWS (Feb. 3, 2018), http://www.sportingnews.com/nfl/news/nfl-ncaa-injuries-concussions-college-football-polls-parents-kids-news/1j6qjndezxs991p1zgizm5e3g0. A poll by NBC found 48% of parents would encourage their child to play a sport other than football. Id.
light of what we are learning from head injury. And so [sic] what is the sport gonna [sic] look like 20 years from now?**93

Terry Bradshaw: “If I had a son today, and I would say this to all our audience and our viewers out there, I would not let him play football.”**94

Harry Carson: “I cannot in good conscience allow my grandson to play knowing what I know. . . . I don’t want him to play. He’s got golf clubs; I take him swimming; we do all kinds of stuff. But I don’t want him to play football [] because I think this young, smart black kid, I want him to be intelligent [sic]; I want him to be brilliant; I want him to be able to use his brain and not his brawn. And I want him to be the best that he can be.”**95

Mike Ditka: “That’s sad. [If I had a young son] I wouldn’t [let him play football]. And my whole life was football. I think the risk is worse than the reward. I really do.”**96

Brett Favre: “I would be real leery of [my son, if I had one] playing football . . . . In some respects, I’m almost glad I don’t have a son because of the . . . physical toll that it could possibly take on him . . . .”**97

Kurt Warner: “[W]hen you know certain things having played the game, and then obviously when you understand the size, the speed, the violence of the game . . . [i]t scares me as a dad [of children who want

---

to play football]. I just wonder – I wonder what the league’s going to be like. . . . [I]t’s a scary thing for me.

Although the NFL continues to be the world’s most profitable professional sports league, some commentators suggest it is just a matter of time until its bottom line is substantially impacted.

With lawyers, scientists, media pundits, and former players all highlighting the dangers of football, soccer’s relative lack of attention in that regard, combined with the “soccer is safe” narrative, has positioned it as a potential refuge for athletes wanting to avoid football’s risks. A critical look, however, indicates that soccer, like football, presents players with significant risk of brain trauma – the extent of which is underappreciated.

IV. SOCCER’S HEAD DANGER

While soccer games and practices feature their share of torn ligaments and broken bones, like in football, the head faces danger as well, and the injuries that result from blows to the head can be catastrophic.

A. The Tragic Case of Patrick Grange

For some years, the United Kingdom’s soccer community has been stewing in what The Telegraph has described as “silent shame” – quiet recognition of the neurological damage soccer has caused some of the nation’s greatest players. Chief among them was former national team player Jeff Astle who developed dementia and died in 2002 at age fifty-nine as a result of the damage his brain suffered during his career. Astle was renowned for his heading ability, and the coroner’s conclusion that “it was heading the soccer ball that


101. Wilson, supra note 100.
had killed him” shook the community, even though many were loath to admit they were shaken.102

In the United States, “silent shame” was limited mainly to football with precious little discussion of brain trauma in the soccer community. Soccer’s head danger, however, came into clear view in 2010 through the case of Patrick Grange, an avid young soccer player from Albuquerque, New Mexico.103 Grange loved the game and started playing at the age of three.104 As he grew older, he committed more and more time to soccer, eventually playing in college and semiprofessionally in hopes of one day making a MLS roster.105 But, in 2010, at age twenty-seven, Grange began to experience symptoms of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (“ALS”), a degenerative motor neuron disease.106 Within months, he was restricted to a wheelchair and then, due to paralysis, grew unable to feed himself.107 Less than a year and a half after his official ALS diagnosis, at age twenty-nine, Grange was dead.108 In 2014, researchers posthumously examined Grange’s brain, which revealed that he had CTE and that his brain had “very extensive . . . damage” much like football players who had died and whose brains had been posthumously examined.109

Grange had suffered some major blows to the head over the years, including being knocked unconscious once during a high school game and sustaining a gash above his eye from a collision during a college game, which required seventeen stitches.110 He had also absorbed thousands of less impactful blows over the years when heading the ball. Indeed, beginning at the age of three, Grange was, according to his parents, “endlessly tossing a soccer ball into the air and heading it into a net.”111 Grange continued to practice heading as he grew older, and when he played high school, college, and semiprofessional soccer, it was a key component of his game.112 There is no way to know
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whether Grange’s brain was damaged by the major blows, the repetitive heading, or a combination of the two, but his death demands inquiry into both types of head impacts in soccer and what might be done to prevent them.

B. Concussive Blows

FIFA’s World Cup is undoubtedly the sporting world’s highest profile event, bringing together thirty-two national soccer teams in a month-long tournament that doubles as a global party. It is soccer at its most visible. And during FIFA’s 2014 World Cup, the soccer establishment’s lack of concern regarding head trauma was broadcast around the globe. During the tournament, three players suffered severe blows to the head, and the handling of each suggested no concern about the blows’ consequences.

On June 19, 2014, in an opening round game between England and Uruguay, Uruguayan defender Alvaro Pereira was knocked unconscious when an opposing player’s knee smashed into his head. Pereira lay motionless on the field while teammates frantically waved trainers and the team doctor onto the field. Once revived, however, Pereira argued to stay in the game and, without assessing the extent of his injury, the coaching staff promptly sent him back in.

On July 9, 2014, in a quarterfinal match between Argentina and the Netherlands, Argentina’s Javier Mascherano and a Dutch player clashed heads while contesting for a head ball. Mascherano staggered around disoriented until he finally dropped to the turf. Just as when Pereira was injured, medical staff rushed onto the field to tend to Mashcherano. Just as when Pereira was
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injured, Mashcerano was helped off the field.\textsuperscript{121} And just as when Pereira was injured, Mashcherano reentered the game quickly and apparently without evaluation.\textsuperscript{122}

On July 13, 2014, in the tournament’s championship match between Germany and Argentina, an Argentinian player’s shoulder slammed into the head of Germany’s Christopher Kramer, whipping it to the side.\textsuperscript{123} Kramer collapsed to the ground in evident agony.\textsuperscript{124} Notwithstanding the clear blow to the head and Kramer’s distress, he was not even ushered off the field.\textsuperscript{125} Kramer was kept in the game and played ineffectually for fourteen minutes before he finally collapsed to the ground.\textsuperscript{126} Only then was he removed from the game.\textsuperscript{127}

Commentators lamented the seeming lack of adherence to any concussion protocol in the aftermath of the injuries and assailed FIFA as being unconcerned with player health. The criticism reached its peak after Kramer’s injury in the championship match. Former players whose careers were ended and whose health was imperiled because of blows to the head were some of the most concerned. For example, Alecko Eskandarian, the 2003 MLS number one draft pick and former collegiate soccer player of the year who was ultimately driven from the game because of multiple concussions, expressed his shock.\textsuperscript{128} Upon seeing Kramer’s injury and immediate return to action, he simply stated, “My God, this is crazy.”\textsuperscript{129}

Taylor Twellman, a former U.S. National Soccer team player turned broadcaster, who was also forced into retirement by multiple concussions, was more verbose.\textsuperscript{130} Twellman angrily assailed those responsible for allowing Kramer to continue playing after the blow to the head: “They handled it exactly the way you’re not supposed to handle it . . . . If he got hit again, it’s the second
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one—second impact syndrome—that causes life or death problems.”

He also ranted on Twitter: “Here we go again FIFA . . . #WorldCupFinal and your ineptitude to address the head injury problem is for everyone to see. Kramer was concussed! . . . Before I die, I will get FIFA to change their ways and get an independent doctor on the sideline.”

The medical establishment was just as critical. Dr. Robert Cantu, a neurosurgeon who co-directs the Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy at Boston University and who is perhaps the nation’s foremost authority on brain trauma stated, “It’s atrocious . . . FIFA was terrible, allowing players and coaches to override medical personnel on the field.”

Chris Nowinski, a former Harvard football player who co-founded the Sports Legacy Institute, which educates the public about head injuries, went a step further. Nowinski expressed concern about the signal that Kramer’s continued play after the injury sent to children worldwide: “I’m worried about how many kids emulate these athletes. It wasn’t just one athlete hurt; it was one multiplied by [one] million . . . . They didn’t even use a bully pulpit and say: ‘This is unacceptable.’”

Concerns about inadequate concussion protocols spurred the Journal of the American Medical Association to publish a comprehensive review of head collisions throughout the tournament. The study was damning. It revealed that during the sixty-four-game tournament, sixty-one players were involved in a total of eighty-one head collisions. In most cases, fellow players, referees, and health care personnel attended to the injured player on the field in contravention of the then-prevailing concussion protocol, which required an assessment by health care personnel on the sidelines. And in cases when health care personnel assessed the injured player and the injured player displayed three or more concussion signs, the vast majority resumed play after assessments that averaged only eighty-four seconds.
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In the World Cup’s aftermath, FIFA set out to improve its ineffective response to head collisions and, in 2016, officially adopted a new concussion protocol known as SCAT5.

Under SCAT5, a player sustaining a head injury must be assessed by team physicians or other medical personnel on alert at games for concussion symptoms and be cleared before returning to the field of play. Players who display concussion symptoms, and are therefore not cleared, should be disallowed from returning to the game. Moreover, such players should be administered a follow-up assessment, including a comprehensive neurological examination, and should return to physical activity slowly over the course of days, weeks, or months and to on-field play only upon full recovery.

Sadly, the 2018 World Cup revealed the concussion protocols to be little more than “eye candy”: attractive but ultimately empty. Like the 2014 World Cup, the 2018 iteration featured gruesome head injuries and shockingly inadequate attention to the injured. Most notably, during an opening round match between Morocco and Iran, Moroccan midfielder Nordin Amrabat’s head collided with an Iranian player’s head, and Amrabat collapsed to the
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ground seemingly unconscious, his body initially becoming rigid and then going limp. When he finally was helped up and to the sideline, he was, as journalist Alexander Zaitchik describes it, “examined by a member of Morocco’s medical staff, whose idiosyncratic concussion protocol involved slapping him repeatedly in the face.”

Even if the protocols are followed to a tee, they are, of course, only able to prevent a second concussion coming on the heels of the first. They do nothing to stop the first concussion or to prevent additional concussions once protocols have been followed and a player has returned to action.

To substantially reduce the occurrence of concussions overall, a more fundamental alteration to the game would be required. And because roughly one-third of concussions in soccer result from “colliding with a player, object, or the ground” when heading or attempting to head the ball, elimination of heading would seem a logical possibility. Once one considers the potential additional sub-concussive damage caused by heading a soccer ball, the need to eliminate heading becomes apparent.

C. Sub-Concussive Blows

While the spectacular collisions, such as those endured by Pereira, Masherano, and Kramer, draw critics’ attention, sub-concussive blows can also prove damaging.

In the 1980s, Dr. Alf Tysvaer and his colleagues in Norway were the first to produce research revealing the toll that heading a soccer ball can take on the brain. Tysvaer’s curiosity about heading’s neurological impact was borne of the cognitive assessments he had done previously on boxers. Tysvaer hypothesized that the sub-concussive impact of a soccer ball colliding with a head was similar in the damage it caused to the sub-concussive impact of a boxer’s jab hitting his opponent’s head. Tysvaer tested his hypothesis through a study of the neurocognitive functioning of retired Norwegian professional soccer players, and he found that soccer players exhibited impaired neurological functioning “with alarming frequency,” which he attributed to repeatedly heading the ball.

Other studies on heading, drawing various conclusions, followed over the years, but the soccer establishment resisted those that indicated the danger
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posed by heading the ball. As Frank Webbe and Christine Salinas put it in their seminal article, *Science and Politics Conflict: The Case of Soccer Heading in Adults and Children*, which traces the soccer community’s decades-long resistance to science revealing heading’s danger, “Studies that reported on heading-related concerns and frank impairments among players were dismissed in almost a knee-jerk reaction.”

Evidence that heading’s sub-concussive blows are detrimental to brain health, however, has in recent years grown too overwhelming to credibly deny. First, it is clear that repeated sub-concussive blows can produce the same symptoms as concussive blows, such as headaches and confusion. They can also negatively impact memory. Indeed, a 2016 study produced by the University of Stirling in the United Kingdom examined soccer players for twenty-four hours after heading twenty balls delivered at the speed of an average corner kick and found that the players suffered “reduction in memory test performance of 41% to 67%.” And a 2017 study published in *Neurology*, the medical journal of the American Academy of Neurology, concluded that soccer players who frequently head the ball are three times more likely to suffer concussion symptoms, generally, than those who rarely head the ball.

Worse still, it is well-established that repeated sub-concussive blows can cause brain abnormalities without producing concussion symptoms and can therefore go unnoticed.

[T]here have been [thirteen] studies that I’m aware of – there might be more – that have shown that sub-concussive hits in sports . . . have
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shown abnormalities on DTI MRI, have shown abnormalities on functional MRIs . . . and [have] also [shown] breakdown of the blood-brain barrier . . . . And that’s happened without recognized concussion, just from repetitive trauma. And they found the individuals with the highest degree of abnormalities were those individuals that took the highest number of hits over the course of a season.\textsuperscript{161}

Chief among the studies Dr. Cantu references is a 2013 study of soccer players conducted by researchers at Yeshiva University’s Albert Einstein College of Medicine in New York City.\textsuperscript{162} Noting that the average soccer player heads the ball several times per game and over thirty times per practice, they questioned what the cumulative effects of heading might be.\textsuperscript{163} Using diffusion tensor imaging, an MRI-like tool,\textsuperscript{164} the researchers examined the brains of a group of amateur soccer players, ranging in age from twenty-one to forty-four, and concluded that the most frequent headers of the ball were more likely to have white matter abnormalities and attendant memory problems.\textsuperscript{165} While the study’s authors urged that additional research be done, they concluded that the study “provides compelling preliminary evidence that brain changes resembling mild traumatic brain injury are associated with frequently heading a soccer ball over many years.”\textsuperscript{166} Scientists at University College London, who have also studied soccer players who head the ball frequently and who have also called for further research on heading, have concluded in more accessible, real-world terms that “[t]hese players had the same pathology as boxers.”\textsuperscript{167}
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Considering the science on the matter, soccer governing bodies must take the risk of heading the ball seriously. While it is, of course, difficult to isolate the cause of brain trauma in any given soccer player, it would be irresponsible to rule out heading as a contributing factor. Indeed, although Dr. Ann McKee, the neuropathologist who examined Patrick Grange’s brain after his death, acknowledged that she could not “say for certain that heading the ball caused [Grange’s] condition,” her examination revealed that Grange had “very extensive frontal lobe damage,” and because heading principally impacts the front of the player’s head, she suspected it played a role.\textsuperscript{168}

When the risk of brain damage due to concussion is taken together with the risk of brain damage due to repeated sub-concussive blows, it becomes clear that intervention is necessary. To protect players and the game, soccer’s regulatory entities must either protect the head or eliminate heading. As the following section of this Article explains, head protection used in many other sports – the helmet – is not likely to be effective protection in soccer, leaving elimination of heading as the logical solution to soccer’s brain injury problem.

V. THE HELMET FALLACY

A. Helmet Use in American Sports

When concerns about head safety have arisen in American sports, professional leagues have often turned to helmets, and football has led the way.

The first football helmets, made of leather, were developed in the 1880s amidst an epidemic of skull fractures and some deaths during college football games.\textsuperscript{169} Not all players, however, chose to wear them.\textsuperscript{170} Similarly, when the NFL was founded in 1920, leather helmets were optional rather than required.\textsuperscript{171} They remained optional until 1943, when the NFL began to mandate helmet use for all players.\textsuperscript{172} Not long thereafter, plastic helmets came into vogue, and over the following several decades, the NFL and its manufacturing partners introduced additional helmet innovations, including protective air bladders inside the helmet as well as facemasks.\textsuperscript{173}
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Major League Baseball ("MLB") began mandating helmets for its new players in 1971, and the National Hockey League ("NHL") did so in 1979. While those already in the leagues had the option under a grandfather clause to continue to play without helmets, before long, as those players eventually retired, every MLB and NHL player wore a helmet.

Notwithstanding the reality of collisions in soccer, the game has no history or tradition of helmet use. To avoid "collateral injury to opponents," any soccer helmet must obviously be soft rather than hard (such as those used in the NFL, MLB, and the NHL) unless there exists a blanket helmet-wearing requirement for all players, which no professional soccer league in the world has adopted. Only a scarce few collegiate and professional players wear these soft helmets (known also in the sport as headgear), and those who do generally do so only after suffering a substantial head injury.

Despite the rarity of headgear among soccer players, in response to head trauma concerns, some soccer authorities, at least on the local level, have required the use of headgear.
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B. The Inefficacy of Soccer Headgear in Preventing Brain Injury

While attempts at protecting players’ heads are well-meaning, research suggests the efficacy of headgear in preventing brain injury in soccer is highly questionable. It may be effective at reducing abrasions and lacerations, but it is unlikely to reduce detrimental brain impact.\textsuperscript{180} Webbe and Salinas, having conducted a review of the literature, explain that “[s]everal studies have been published that have evaluated soccer headgear, and the typical conclusion is that there appears to be no benefit regarding protection from concussion.”\textsuperscript{181} The national soccer community largely concurs. Dr. Margot Putukian, a member of U.S. Soccer’s Medical Committee, is on record as doubting the efficacy of headgear in preventing concussions,\textsuperscript{182} as is U.S. Soccer Chief Medical Officer George Chiampas.\textsuperscript{183} And in 2017, the National Federation of State High School Association’s Sports Medicine Advisory Committee released a position statement noting that wearing headgear to prevent concussions is neither scientifically nor medically supported.\textsuperscript{184}

Some studies, such as a 2005 \textit{British Journal of Sports Medicine} study, run contrary, finding that headgear does substantially reduce the force of head-to-head clashes, dissipating energy and reducing the incidence of concussion.\textsuperscript{185} These studies, though, are in the distinct minority. Even if the great weight of the authority has it wrong and headgear does provide some benefit in preventing concussions, that benefit may well be counterweighed by the altered behavior that wearing it can prompt. Indeed, many sports physicians, including former U.S. National Soccer Team physician Dr. William W. Briner Jr., argue that headgear does more harm than good because it gives players a sense of invulnerability and prompts them to make risky challenges they would not make without the headgear.\textsuperscript{186}
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This phenomenon has been borne out in football, where at least one doctor has acknowledged that “the increased safety [has lead] to an increased threshold to assume risk.” Dr. Erik Swartz, a kinesiology professor at the University of New Hampshire who advocates that football be played without helmets, analogizes the phenomenon to behavior at the wheel of an automobile, noting that “once [cars] started having anti-lock breaks, padded interiors and seat belts, accident rates went up . . . deaths went up.” Moreover, Swartz explains, the increased assumption of risk may be simple human nature: “It’s referred to as risk compensation, or risk homeostasis . . . . When a player has a body part that’s protected, and the contact with somebody else is imminent, you’re going to put your protected body part first, just reflexively.”

Dr. Swartz’s research supports his theory. Together with colleagues at the University of New Hampshire, including the head football coach, Dr. Swartz conducted a study in which he divided players on the football team into two groups and exposed one of the groups to helmetless tackling drills. The other group of players participated in no such drills. In actual games, members of the former group suffered 28% fewer head impacts than members of the latter group.

Although Swartz’s study is the first known study of its kind, the game of rugby offers anecdotal support for Swartz’s statistical findings. Rugby, which, like football, is a rugged game to which tackling is central, has long produced far fewer head impacts and concussions than football. Dr. Mike Loosemore, a leading member of the medical team at the 2012 London Olympics and the physician for the British boxing team, credits the absence of helmets for rugby’s low incidence of concussions relative to football and cautions against helmets’ use in rugby. Loosemore points specifically to the NFL, where he asserts that “the risk of brain injury is extremely high despite the helmets that
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they wear. Rugby headguards would be just as ineffective; in fact, they would actively create problems.”

In the same way, the use of headgear in soccer may actively create problems; that is, its use may produce more head impacts and potentially increase the number of concussions sustained overall.

The bigger weakness in the soccer headgear movement, however, is that even if wearing headgear were to reduce the incidence of concussion in soccer and doing so did not alter players’ risk tolerance in a way that eliminated the potential benefit of the headgear, that benefit does not apply to the damage suffered from heading the ball. Webbe and Salinas report that “evaluative work on the effectiveness of soccer headgear has near unanimity that helmets are remarkably ineffective in . . . ameliorating impact blows in ball heading.”

Even the aforementioned British Journal of Sports Medicine study, which concluded that headgear reduces the impact of head-to-head collisions in soccer and may therefore reduce the incidence of concussions, found that the headgear produced no material benefit in protecting the brain from the impact of a head meeting a ball. The study measured the impact response of the head when struck by a ball at low speed (between 6.4 and 8.2 meters per second, designed to mimic volunteer heading) and at high speed (between ten and thirty meters per second, designed to mimic head-to-ball contact that may not be voluntary). In both cases, “High speed video confirmed that the ball undergoes much larger deformation than the headband. The headgears tested are not effective because it is the ball which dominates the impact response.”

Of even greater concern, wearing headgear may make matters worse for female players because heading a ball with headgear on may be more damaging than heading a ball without headgear. As a general matter, females are more likely than males to suffer brain trauma while playing soccer. The reason for this sex-based disparity is not entirely clear, but researchers attribute it, at least in part, to anatomical differences in the head and neck region. Female necks are, on average, less muscular than male necks, meaning that females tend to exhibit less “head-neck segment stability” when their heads collide against an object. Once impacted, therefore, female heads accelerate more
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quickly, prompting their brains to rattle more forcefully against the insides of their skulls.\(^{203}\)

In 2008, relying, as a basis, on Newton’s Second Law of Motion – that the force acting on an object is equal to the mass of the object times its acceleration – Temple University Kinesiology Professor Ryan Tierney conducted experiments with male and female college-aged soccer players heading soccer balls while wearing different types of headgear as well as none at all.\(^{204}\) The study revealed that the gap between female and male head acceleration when heading a soccer ball with headgear was substantially larger than the gap with no headgear and that the increased acceleration of female players’ heads would counteract any benefit provided by the headgear’s cushioning.\(^{205}\) Tierney concluded that the headgear tested would likely result in “an increased concussion risk in women.”\(^{206}\) Moreover, based on the study’s results, Tierney, noting that children generally “exhibit low amounts of head-neck segment stability,” expressed concern about children wearing headgear and urged that “research is . . . needed to examine if soccer headgear may lead to increased head accelerations in children during soccer heading.”\(^{207}\)

In that (1) substantial research suggests soccer headgear is not effective in preventing concussions; (2) there is near unanimity that headgear does nothing to reduce the impact on the brain of sub-concussive blows from heading the ball; (3) headgear may encourage riskier challenges than are currently the norm with the potential for greater injury; and (4) for women and potentially children, wearing headgear may, all other things being equal, pose a greater risk to the brain than not wearing it, headgear is likely not the solution to soccer’s head danger.

The solution is eliminating heading from soccer.

VI. ELIMINATING HEADING FROM SOCCER

A. The Original Header-Less Game

While traditionalists may view heading in soccer as sacrosanct, it is certainly not indispensable to the game. Though heading is commonplace in the sport today, history teaches that it has not always been. Indeed, none of the rules systems that held sway in England during the nineteenth century as the
game developed specifically mentioned players using their heads.\textsuperscript{208} In addition, when the International Football Association Board ("IFAB"), which was founded in 1886, established the 17 Laws of the Game ("17 Rules"), the concept of heading was nowhere within them.\textsuperscript{209} The IFAB has, over time, updated and altered the 17 Rules, which FIFA has adopted as soccer’s official rules, but heading remains absent.\textsuperscript{210}

While the absence of heading references in the foundational rules of soccer does not necessarily mean heading was prohibited,\textsuperscript{211} it certainly indicates heading was not a critical component of the game. And, indeed, a headed ball was, for decades, an extremely rare occurrence in a soccer match. In fact, heading was to be avoided if at all possible because the balls used in the late 1800s were heavy and cumbersome objects and were “prone to becoming water-logged, which led to frequent head and neck injuries.”\textsuperscript{212}

Although soccer ball construction had advanced by the turn of the nineteenth century, balls remained unconducive to heading. “Most balls produced by that time used rubber bladders . . . covered with heavy brown leather.”\textsuperscript{213} Although these balls had more bounce to them and were easier to kick than the previous generation of balls, heading the ball remained a perilous proposition.\textsuperscript{214}

With technological advances in ball design, by the middle of the twentieth century balls were – as compared with those of old – somewhat more conducive to heading, and, as a result, heading frequency increased.\textsuperscript{215} Heading, however, remained painful and difficult.\textsuperscript{216} George Cohen, who played professionally during the 1950s and 1960s as a defender on England’s 1966 World Cup Championship team, and who now campaigns for brain safety in soccer, recalls that “[the balls] started out at [fourteen] to [sixteen] ounces but, with rain, they were two or three pounds . . . . [I]f the ball hit you from the sky when
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you were still on the ground, the feeling would go right through to your feet.”

Still, to strengthen their necks for efficacy when heading in games, some clubs in that era were known to practice by heading large, heavy, medicine balls.

Eventually, as soccer balls became lighter and bouncier and as the act of heading appeared safer, the frequency of heading increased further, and it is now a fundamental and celebrated part of the game. While heading may appear safer than when heavier balls were used, it may, in fact, be riskier. Dr. Michael Grey, a motor neuroscience physiologist at the University of Birmingham in the United Kingdom, explains:

The issue is the amount of energy imparted on the head by the ball. It is very simple physics. There is a formula for kinetic energy. That formula is one-half mass times velocity squared. The more important part of that equation is the velocity squared. We know players are bigger, stronger and kicking the ball faster. So the amount of energy in the ball is maybe even more [than when the balls were heavier]. There is still energy in the new balls to wobble the brain inside the cranium.

The act of heading the ball played little or no role in soccer as originated because it was painful and dangerous. Now that heading is generally no longer painful, it plays a large role. Unfortunately, it remains dangerous—perhaps more dangerous than it was in the past. Indeed, it has been posited that “[i]f the rules of the game were being written today, making head-to-ball contact would be outlawed . . . .”

B. Attempts to Restrict Heading Among Youth

In August of 2014, parents of youth soccer players concerned about head injuries filed a class-action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against FIFA, U.S. Soccer, U.S. Youth Soccer Associa-
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tion, American Youth Soccer Organization, U.S. Club Soccer, and the California Youth Soccer Association.\footnote{222} In the lawsuit, \textit{Mehr v. Fédération Internationale de Football Association}, plaintiffs alleged that the defendants were negligent in monitoring and treating head injuries.\footnote{223} The court dismissed FIFA from the suit with prejudice, noting that there existed “no connection between any claim asserted in th[e] case and any action by FIFA in California . . . .”\footnote{224} The court also dismissed the United States defendants on standing grounds after finding that the parents did not sufficiently demonstrate injury.\footnote{225} The dismissal on standing grounds, however, was without prejudice and plaintiffs’ counsel immediately indicated an intent to amend the complaint and proceed with the lawsuit against the United States defendants.\footnote{226} The parties soon thereafter engaged in settlement discussions, and within a few months they reached an agreement.\footnote{227} The agreement did not involve money but rather rule changes, including changes with respect to heading\footnote{228}.

While the agreement was hailed as a success among those concerned about head injuries in soccer, its restrictions on heading were actually quite limited. As a threshold matter, the amount of authority that the defendants have over individual youth teams varied, so while the changes are required with respect to, for instance, U.S. Soccer’s Youth National Teams and Development Academy, they are merely recommendations for most youth soccer clubs and leagues around the country.\footnote{229} Moreover, the recommended (and for select bodies, as noted above, required) restrictions do not apply to all youth players.\footnote{230} While it is recommended that children age ten and under do not head the ball at all during games and practices, other than restricting the number of head balls taken during practices, the recommendation does not extend to
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eleven-and-twelve-year-olds.\textsuperscript{231} No restrictions of any sort are recommended for players older than twelve.\textsuperscript{232}

The Professional Footballers’ Association, which is the union for professional soccer players in the United Kingdom, has tracked U.S. Soccer’s movement on the issue and has argued for similar restrictions, urging the Football Association, the United Kingdom’s supreme soccer governing body, to eliminate heading for children under the age of ten.\textsuperscript{233} The Football Association has taken no action, committing only to “researching and examining” the issue, but the public and the medical community have expressed increasing concern.\textsuperscript{234} For instance, Dr. Willie Stewart, a Glasgow, Scotland-based Neuropathologist who collaborated on the aforementioned University of Stirling study, has mocked the United Kingdom’s soccer authorities’ inaction, stating:

If I put boxing gloves on my hands, went into a school and offered to bang the kids around the head with the same force as a [soccer ball], I would be locked up. If I take a [soccer ball] in and did the same thing, I would be appointed coach.\textsuperscript{235}

\textbf{C. What About Adults’ Brains?}

While perspectives differ as to whether and to what extent heading should be permitted in soccer, discussion of its elimination has been limited almost exclusively to the youth game. Why it is limited as such, however, is not clear. Neither the research on heading’s dangers nor the nature of U.S. Soccer’s regulations and recommendations support restricting heading regulation to youth soccer.

Without question, protecting childrens’ brains from damage through sports must be a priority. Their brains are more susceptible to damage than adults’ brains and, because children’s brains are still developing, any damage is likely to have greater consequences.\textsuperscript{236} A brain is, however, an extraordinary and delicate organ at any age, and heading a soccer ball, at any age, can harm the brain. Indeed, the studies cited in Part IV of this Article that warn of the danger of sub-concussive impacts — the Norwegian study, the Stirling study,
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the Neurology study – all involved adults’ brains. Eliminating heading in the youth game, therefore, does not go far enough.

While adults can consent to the dangers inherent in an activity in a way that children, as a legal matter, cannot, playing soccer should not require anyone, regardless of age, to put his or her brain at risk with every head ball. There has, however, been no adult-focused Mehr analogue or potentially crippling NFL-like nationwide concussion lawsuit to truly rattle the American soccer establishment’s cage, which may be part of the reason that heading’s place in the game for players over twelve years old has gone unaltered notwithstanding increasing evidence of its danger.

Another part of the reason may be the deep devotion to heading that many in the soccer community, and certainly those who are good at it, have. A perfectly placed head ball into the opponent’s goal can be majestic. Soccer highlight reels are filled with them, and they are widely celebrated. Moreover, the opportunity to strike a ball with one’s head confers an obvious advantage upon those who are able to do so well – an advantage that would be lost if heading were eliminated. Tall, attacking players who are strong in the air and more adept at scoring with their heads than manipulating the ball into the net with their feet would be phased out of the game. In exploring the potential merits of eliminating heading from soccer, columnist Noah Davis asserts that “[a] header requires less technical ability than a [thirty]-yard strike, often rewarding the brave rather than the skilled.”

Though Davis discounts the athletic ability great headers of the ball must possess, the elimination of heading would certainly disadvantage such players vis-a-vis their contemporaries who possess a “tiki-taka” short passing, ball control style popularized in recent years by the
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Spanish club FC Barcelona. For instance, Argentina national Lionel Messi, a magician with the ball at his feet, would likely be far less troubled by a head ball ban than England national Andy Carroll, who is known to be a powerful force in the air but is lampooned for his relative lack of skill with the ball on the ground. For that matter, entire nations’ soccer programs would potentially rise or fall in stature relative to competitor nations’ programs in the wake of a heading ban, as nations that have traditionally emphasized technical ability and short passes would, at least in the short term, separate themselves from those that have traditionally emphasized a more physical approach that privileges prowess in the air.

A discussion of how a heading ban would shift the balance of power among soccer players and among soccer-playing nations is beyond the scope of this Article, and more importantly, it is beside the point. Study after study reveals that heading the ball and the collisions so often borne of attempting to head the ball threaten the brain, at any age. That should be enough to render irrelevant both romantic notions of the role heading has occupied in the game and considerations of who a heading ban would most disadvantage.

Sports evolve. Basketball rules have changed over the years to introduce the slam dunk, the three-point shot, and the shot clock, and they have dramatically changed the game, altering decades-old strategic philosophies and advantaging players with different skill sets and attributes. Still, it is basketball. Soccer without heading will still be soccer but with healthier brains in its players’ heads.

VII. CONCLUSION

A well-executed head ball is beautiful, and some of the game’s greatest players, such as U.S. Women’s National Team legend Abby Wambach, have
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made beautiful head balls their trademark. However, the consequences of heading the ball – and of missing the ball and instead hitting another player’s head, shoulder, elbow, foot, fist, or the goal post – are potentially dire, as seemingly acknowledged by Wambach herself in announcing that upon her death she would like her brain donated to the study of CTE. While soccer’s regulatory bodies have begun recommending that young children not head the ball, there has been no movement to eliminate heading among adults despite overwhelming evidence as to the neurological damage heading the ball and attempting to head the ball, at any age, can cause.

The NFL ignored evidence of its burgeoning brain health crisis, and by the time it faced the problem and tried to address it, youth football participation had declined, legendary players were lamenting having played the game, and the NFL faced a mammoth class action lawsuit it ultimately settled for $1 billion. For the good of “The Beautiful Game,” soccer’s governing bodies should take a different path. Soccer is a brain-dangerous sport, but it can be made far less so with one rule change: the elimination of heading. By eradicating heading from soccer, the sport’s governing bodies can protect the game and its players into the future.
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