

# Missouri Law Review

---

Volume 30  
Issue 2 *Spring 1965*

Article 11

---

Spring 1965

## Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

*Editorial Board*, 30 MO. L. REV. (1965)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol30/iss2/11>

This Masthead is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Missouri Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [bassettcw@missouri.edu](mailto:bassettcw@missouri.edu).

# MISSOURI LAW REVIEW

Published Quarterly by the School of Law, University of  
Missouri, Columbia, Missouri.

---

---

Volume 30

Spring 1965

Number 2

---

---

If a subscriber wishes his subscription to the REVIEW discontinued at its expiration, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuation is desired.

---

---

Subscription Price \$3.50 per volume

\$1.00 per current number

---

---

MEMBER, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF LAW REVIEWS

## EDITORIAL BOARD

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

JACK L. WHITACRE

ARTICLE AND BOOK REVIEW EDITOR

WILLIAM C. MORGAN

REVISING EDITORS

LEWIS M. BLANTON

L. W. HANNAH

JOHN E. PARRISH

---

EARL E. BOYD

RAY L. CASKEY

THOMAS J. ENIS

WILLIAM FERGUSON

RALPH R. FRIES

RONALD A. GRAVES

WILLIAM H. KARCHMER

LAWRENCE N. KOELN

MICHAEL A. LANDRUM

KERRY L. MONTGOMERY

E. SCOTT ORR

LARRY H. PELOFSKY

STEPHEN H. ROMINES

RONALD E. SMULL

LEE E. STANFORD

BEN R. SWANK, JR.

---

FACULTY ADVISER

EDWIN BROWN FIRMAGE

BUSINESS MANAGER

ESTHER MASON

---

---

Publication of signed contributions does not signify adoption of the views expressed by the REVIEW or its Editors collectively.

---

---

*"My keenest interest is excited, not by what are called great questions and great cases, but by little decisions which the common run of selectors would pass by because they did not deal with the Constitution or a telephone company, yet which have in them the germ of some wider theory, and therefore of some profound interstitial change in the very tissue of the law."*—OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, COLLECTED LEGAL PAPERS 269 (1920).

(371)

