Missouri Law Review

Volume 29 Issue 1 Winter 1964

Article 11

Winter 1964

Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr



Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Editorial Board, 29 Mo. L. Rev. (1964)

Available at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol29/iss1/11

This Masthead is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Missouri Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact bassettcw@missouri.edu.

MISSOURI LAW REVIEW

Published Quarterly by the School of Law, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri.

Volume 29

Winter 1964

Number 1

If a subscriber wishes his subscription to the Review discontinued at its expiration, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuation is desired.

Subscription Price \$3.50 per volume

\$1.00 per current number

MEMBER, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF LAW REVIEWS

FACULTY ADVISER

T. E. LAUER

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

MARVIN E. WRIGHT

ARTICLE AND BOOK REVIEW EDITOR

THOMAS A. VETTER

REVISING EDITORS

NEWTON C. BRILL LESLIE M. CROUCH

WADE H. FORD, JR. DONALD W. JONES

Lewis M. Blanton ALLEN F. BRAUNINGER WILLIAM FERGUSON THOMAS G. FIELD III RALPH R. FRIES WILLIAM H. KARCHMER RAY E. KLINGINSMITH ROBERT M. LINDHOLM DAVID P. MACOUBRIE

IOHN T. MARTIN William C. Morgan JOHN E. PARRISH LARRY H. PELOFSKY MACK A. PLAYER JOHN K. PRUELLAGE GRAHAME P. RICHARDS, TR.

David P. Ross

Duane L. Serck ALFRED C. SIKES DENNIS W. SMITH WILLIAM F. SUTTER BEN R. SWANK, JR. L. JERRY WEBER TACK L. WHITACRE FREDERIC E. WHITE DONALD R. WILSON

ESTHER MASON, Business Manager

Publication of signed contributions does not signify adoption of the views expressed by the REVIEW or its Editors collectively.

"My keenest interest is excited, not by what are called great questions and great cases, but by little decisions which the common run of selectors would pass by because they did not deal with the Constitution or a telephone company, yet which have in them the germ of some wider theory, and therefore of some profound interstitial change in the very tissue of the law."—Oliver Wendell Holmes. Collected LEGAL PAPERS 269 (1920).