

# Missouri Law Review

---

Volume 26  
Issue 3 *June 1961*

Article 9

---

1961

## Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

*Editorial Board*, 26 Mo. L. REV. (1961)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol26/iss3/9>

This Masthead is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Missouri Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [bassettcw@missouri.edu](mailto:bassettcw@missouri.edu).

# MISSOURI LAW REVIEW

Published in January, April, June, and November by the  
School of Law, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri.

---

Volume 26

JUNE 1961

Number 3

---

If a subscriber wishes his subscription to the REVIEW discontinued at its expiration, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuation is desired.

---

Subscription Price \$3.50 per volume

\$1.00 per current number

---

MEMBER, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF LAW REVIEWS

## FACULTY ADVISER

HENRY T. LOWE

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

DANIEL H. COLEMAN

## ARTICLE AND BOOK REVIEW EDITOR

FRED D. BOLLOW

## MANAGING EDITOR

BYRON K. SNAPP

## REVISING EDITORS

RICHARD H. BREINER

JACK O. EDWARDS

EVERETT A. OLSON, JR.

GEORGE A. BARTLETT

JOSEPH C. GREENE

DAVID L. KNIGHT

FLOYD E. LAWSON, JR.

DAVID H. LLOYD

JAMES H. McLARNEY

EARL S. MACKEY

JAMES J. MOLLENKAMP

DAVID R. ODEGARD

LYLE H. PETIT

WILLIAM E. SEELLEN

JAMES D. SICKAL

RICHARD K. WILSON

---

ESTHER MASON, *Business Manager*

---

---

Publication of signed contributions does not signify adoption of the views expressed by the REVIEW or its Editors collectively.

---

*"My keenest interest is excited, not by what are called great questions and great cases, but by little decisions which the common run of selectors would pass by because they did not deal with the Constitution or a telephone company, yet which have in them the germ of some wider theory, and therefore of some profound interstitial change in the very tissue of the law."*—OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, COLLECTED LEGAL PAPERS 269 (1920).