Journal of Dispute Resolution

Volume 2011 | Issue 2

Article 1

2011

Table of Contents - Issue 2

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jdr

Part of the Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents - Issue 2, 2011 J. Disp. Resol. (2011) Available at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jdr/vol2011/iss2/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Dispute Resolution by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact bassettcw@missouri.edu.

JOURNAL OF

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

VOLUME 2011, NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

Are We "Paper Tigers"? The Limited Procedural Power of Arbitrators Under Chinese LawChi Manjiao	259
Pleading for Justice: The Availability of Plea Bargaining	
as a Method of Alternative Dispute Resolution at the	
International Criminal Court	283
Andrew Powell	
Ethical Problems in Class ArbitrationRichard A. Bales	309
Regulating Mediator Qualifications in the 2008 EU Mediation	
Directive: The Need for a Supranational StandardAshley Feasley	333
In the Shadow of Soft Law: The Handling of Corporate Social	
Responsibility Disputes Under the OECD Guidelines for	
Multinational EnterprisesLeyla Davarnejad	351
Multinational Enterprises	

STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Benjamin Angulo	
Daniel J. Romine	
State Legislative Update Matthew Schacht	387

NOTES

Foreigners Beware?: Exploring the Tension Between Saudi Arabian and Western International Commercial Arbitration Practices	ampton 431
Missouri Courts Side with Employees Against the Eighth Circuit: Continued Employment Does Not Constitute	
Acceptance and Consideration for Mandatory Arbitration	D
Agreements Laura I	Browne 447
Consent is the Key to Compel: The Eighth Circuit Properly	
Denies a Motion to Compel a Non-Signatory to Arbitrate United States Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit	woboda 465
Onice States Court of Appenis, Eight Chedit	1000000 100
No Signature Needed: The Supreme Court of California Settles Precedent and Furthers the Goals of the Medical	
Injury Compensation Reform Act	. Travis 483

Journal of Dispute Resolution, Vol. 2011, Iss. 2 [2011], Art. 1