

Journal of Environmental and Sustainability Law

Missouri Environmental Law and Policy Review
Volume 3
Issue 2 1995

Article 1

1995

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jesl>



Part of the [Environmental Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 3 Mo. Env'tl. L. & Pol'y Rev. 61 (1995)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jesl/vol3/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Environmental and Sustainability Law by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact bassettcw@missouri.edu.



MISSOURI ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY REVIEW



Vol. 3 • No. 2 • pp. 61-120

ARTICLES

Lessons From Kindergarten: Recovering Remediation Costs Under the Citizens' Suit Provision of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	63
— Eugene P. Schmittgens, Jr. and Douglas E. Nelson	

COMMENTS AND CASENOTES

Environmental Justice and TSD Siting Policies: Title VI is the Plaintiffs' Newest and Best Weapon, but Will it Succeed in Missouri?	78
— Don Willloh and Tom Collins	
Development Impact Fees: Guidelines for Missouri Enabling Legislation	87
— Jill A. Morris	
Can Federal Sanctions Force States to Clean Their Air? <i>Missouri v. United States</i>	99
— Theodore A. Kardis	

CASE SUMMARIES

CERCLA	107
<i>Gj. Leasing Company, Inc. v. Union Electric Company</i> , 54 F.3d 379 (7th Cir. 1995)	
<i>Control Data Corp. v. S.C.S.C. Corp.</i> , 53 F.3d 930 (8th Cir. 1995)	
<i>United States v. Cordova Chemical Company of Michigan</i> , 59 F.3d 584 (6th Cir. 1995)	
<i>United States v. Union Electric Co.</i> , 64 F.3d 1152 (8th Cir. 1995)	
RCRA	110
<i>Systech Environmental Corp. v. United States</i> , 55 F.3d 1466 (9th Cir. 1995)	
<i>Furrer v. Brown</i> , 62 F.3d 1092 (8th Cir. 1995)	
CLEAN AIR ACT	111
<i>American Petroleum Institute v. United States</i> , 52 F.3d 1113 (D.C. Cir. 1995)	
<i>National Mining Association v. United States</i> , 59 F.3d 135 (D.C. Cir. 1995)	
CLEAN WATER ACT	112
<i>Northwest Environmental Advocates v. Portland</i> , 56 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 1995)	

NEPA	112
<i>Mount Graham Coalition v. Thomas</i> , 53 F.3d 970 (9th Cir. 1995)	
<i>Duncan Energy Company v. United States Forest Service</i> , 50 F.3d 584 (8th Cir. 1995)	
ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT	114
<i>Babbit v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Great Oregon</i> , 115 S.Ct. 2407 (1995)	
CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS	115
<i>Thomas v. FAG Bearings Corporation</i> , 50 F.3d 502 (8th Cir. 1995)	
<i>National Solid Wastes Management Association v. Meyer</i> , 63 F.3d 652 (7th Cir. 1995)	
MISSOURI	116
<i>Mueller v. Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Commission</i> , 904 S.W.2d 552 (Mo. Ct. App. 1995)	

CASES TO WATCH

Cases to Watch	117
----------------------	-----

MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

Press Release Summaries	118
-------------------------------	-----

The *Missouri Environmental Law & Policy Review* is not copyrighted as a publication, however, some articles are copyrighted individually by their authors, and are indicated by a copyright notice. All works including those protected by copyright in this publication may be copied for educational or personal use as long as the *Missouri Environmental Law & Policy Review* is cited as the source of the work. Cite this publication as MO. ENV'T L. & POL'Y. REV.

The *Missouri Environmental Law & Policy Review* is a joint venture of the University of Missouri-Columbia School of law and The Missouri Bar's Environmental & Energy Law Committee, which are responsible for the content and production of the publication. Printing Services are provided by The Missouri Bar.