Journal of Dispute Resolution

Volume 2000 | Issue 1

Article 2

2000

Table of Contents - Issue 1

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jdr



Part of the Dispute Resolution and Arbitration Commons

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents - Issue 1, 2000 J. Disp. Resol. (2000) Available at: https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/jdr/vol2000/iss1/2

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Dispute Resolution by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact bassettcw@missouri.edu.

et al.: Table of Contents - Issue 1 JOURNAL OF

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

VOLUME 2000, NUMBER 1

CONTENTS

Preface	1
SYMPOSIUM	
The Future of ADR	3
Continuing the Conversation about the Current Status and the Future of ADR: A View from the Courts	11
Variations in Mediation: Howand WhyLegal Mediators Change Styles in the Course of a Case	41
Some Reflections on ADR	63
ADR Research at the Crossroads Deborah R. Hensler	71
Turning the Ship of State	79
Is Binding Arbitration a Form of ADR?: An Argument That the Term "ADR" Has Begun to Outlive Its Usefulness Jean R. Sternlight	97
COMMENTS	
The Concern Over Confidentiality in MediationAn In-Depth Look at the Protection Provided by the Uniform Mediation Act	113
Consensual Approaches to Resolving Public Policy Disputes Brett A. Williams	135
NOTES	
The Search to Clarify an Elusive Standard: What Relationships Between Arbitrator and Party Demonstrate Evident Partiality? Jennifer C. Bailey	153
Appeals from Arbitration Orders Under the Federal Arbitration Act: Pro-arbitration Policy Clashes with the Right to Appeal Final Decisions	165
The Role of Federal Courts in Assisting International Arbitration	177
Arbitration Agreements Between Employers and Employees: The Sixth Circuit Says the EEOC Is Not Bound	187
Federalism Versus the Greater Good Should Powerful Franchisors Be Allowed to Contract for the Home Court Advantage Through Forum Selection Clauses? Nathan E. Ross	199