

Missouri Law Review

Volume 28
Issue 4 *Fall 1963*

Article 9

1963

Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Editorial Board, 28 Mo. L. REV. (1963)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol28/iss4/9>

This Masthead is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Missouri Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact bassettcw@missouri.edu.

MISSOURI LAW REVIEW

Published Quarterly by the School of Law, University of
Missouri, Columbia, Missouri.

Volume 28

Fall 1963

Number 4

If a subscriber wishes his subscription to the REVIEW discontinued at its expiration, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuation is desired.

Subscription Price \$3.50 per volume

\$1.00 per current number

MEMBER, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF LAW REVIEWS

FACULTY ADVISER

T. E. LAUER

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

MARVIN E. WRIGHT

ARTICLE AND BOOK REVIEW EDITOR

THOMAS A. VETTER

REVISING EDITORS

NEWTON C. BRILL
LESLIE M. CROUCH

WADE H. FORD
DONALD W. JONES

LEWIS M. BLANTON
ALLEN F. BRAUNINGER
WILLIAM FERGUSON
THOMAS G. FIELD
RALPH R. FRIES
WILLIAM H. KARCHMER
RAY E. KLINGINSMITH
ROBERT M. LINDHOLM

DAVID P. MACOUBRIE
JOHN T. MARTIN
WILLIAM C. MORGAN
JOHN E. PARRISH
LARRY H. PELOFSKY
MACK A. PLAYER
JOHN K. PRUELLAGE
GRAHAME RICHARDS

DAVID P. ROSS
DUANE L. SERCK
ALFRED C. SIKES
DENNIS W. SMITH
WILLIAM F. SUTTER
BEN R. SWANK
L. JERRY WEBER
FREDERIC E. WHITE
DONALD R. WILSON

ESTHER MASON, *Business Manager*

Publication of signed contributions does not signify adoption of the views expressed by the REVIEW or its Editors collectively.

"My keenest interest is excited, not by what are called great questions and great cases, but by little decisions which the common run of selectors would pass by because they did not deal with the Constitution or a telephone company, yet which have in them the germ of some wider theory, and therefore of some profound interstitial change in the very tissue of the law."—OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, COLLECTED LEGAL PAPERS 269 (1920).