

# Missouri Law Review

---

Volume 25  
Issue 2 April 1960

Article 3

---

1960

## Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

*Editorial Board*, 25 MO. L. REV. (1960)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.missouri.edu/mlr/vol25/iss2/3>

This Masthead is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Missouri Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Missouri School of Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [bassettcw@missouri.edu](mailto:bassettcw@missouri.edu).

# MISSOURI LAW REVIEW

Published in January, April, June, and November by the  
School of Law, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri.

---

---

Volume 25

APRIL 1960

Number 2

---

---

If a subscriber wishes his subscription to the REVIEW discontinued at its expiration, notice to that effect should be sent; otherwise it is assumed that a continuation is desired.

---

---

Subscription Price \$3.50 per volume

\$1.00 per current number

---

---

MEMBER, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF LAW REVIEWS

## FACULTY ADVISER

HENRY T. LOWE

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

BERNARD N. FRANK

## ARTICLE AND BOOK REVIEW EDITOR

JAMES WM. RINER

## MANAGING EDITOR

LARRY D. DINGUS

## REVISING EDITORS

JOHN WM. RINGER

M. RANDALL VANET

JULIUS F. WALL

EDWIN D. AKERS

FRED D. BOLLOW

RICHARD H. BREINER

DONALD E. CHANEY

DANIEL H. COLEMAN

JACK O. EDWARDS

CHARLES B. ERICKSON

JACK E. EVANS

TROY RICHARD MAGER

EVERETT A. OLSON, JR.

THOMAS I. OSBORNE

JOHN D. RAHOY

WILLIAM E. SEELEN

JAMES E. SPAIN

B. VINCENT TYNDALL

JAMES R. WILLARD

DAVID A. YARGER

---

ESTHER MASON, *Business Manager*

---

---

Publication of signed contributions does not signify adoption of the views expressed by the REVIEW or its Editors collectively.

---

---

*"My keenest interest is excited, not by what are called great questions and great cases, but by little decisions which the common run of selectors would pass by because they did not deal with the Constitution or a telephone company, yet which have in them the germ of some wider theory, and therefore of some profound interstitial change in the very tissue of the law."*—OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, COLLECTED LEGAL PAPERS 269 (1920).